

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,541	10/29/2002	Yoshikazu Kurita	SIMTEK6496	7669
25776	7590 12/24/2003		EXAMINER	
ERNEST A.	BEUTLER, ATTORNI	SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W		
	NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/065,541	KURITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MANUNO DATE CUI	David W. Scheuermann	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 (October 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,7,8,10,13-22 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,9,11,12,23,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/065,541

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election Acknowledged

Applicant's election without traverse of the species V, which includes figures 9 and 10, in a paper filed on October 15, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicant asserts that claims 1-4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 23, 25 and 26 read on the elected species. The examiner agrees with all of these claims except claim 7, which recites, "the permanent magnets are curved". The examiner believes applicant has made a typo and intended to include claim 6 not claim 7 in the elected species V, since no curved magnets are depicted in the elected species. Therefore claims 1-4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 23, 25, and 26 will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 23, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nishikawa et al. Nishikawa et al. in figure 9 show the rotor of a motor having axially spaced and circumferentially spaced but circumferentially overlapping segments in a skewed fashion. Note the last sentence in the abstract describes the decreased cogging effect.

Re claim 25, note that there are two side segments in the rotor of figure 9.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4, 6, 9,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King, US 4862009 in view of Nishikawa et al., US 6252323. King discloses the combination of and electric permanent magnet starter for an internal combustion engine. King does not expressly disclose a motor having circumferentially spaced permanent magnets. Nishikawa et al. disclose skewing circumferentially spaced permanent magnets about the rotor magnets, as shown in figure 9, for the purpose of decreasing cogging torque. At the time the invention was made, it would

Art Unit: 2834

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the rotor of Nishikawa et al. in the motor of King. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to reduce the cogging torque of the motor.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Maegawa et al. in figure 7 show an axially spaced circumferentially overlapping rotor. Nakano shows an outer rotor motor with skewed magnet edges. Uchida, Feigel et al. Popovet al. and DK 37432 all show axial spaced circumferentially overlapping rotor of various designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David W. Scheuermann whose telephone number is (703) 308-9637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dws

December 18, 2003

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800

Wheel -